

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to:

Assistant Commissioner for Patents
Washington, D.C. 20231

On

14 June 2000

TOWNSEND and TOWNSEND and CREW LLP

By:


Malinda Bogit

HBAN 1844
PATENT
Attorney Docket No.: 18623-005840US
Client Reference No.: 0058.40US

RECEIVED

JUN 26 2000

TECH CENTER 1600/2900



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of:

Grey *et al.*

Application No.: 08/349,177

Filed: December 2, 1994

For: HLA-A2.1 BINDING PEPTIDES
AND THEIR USES

Examiner: Ronald B. Schwadron

Art Unit: 1644

RESPONSE TO OFFICE
COMMUNICATION

Assistant Commissioner for Patents
Washington, D.C. 20231

Sir:

In response to the Office Communication mailed May 22, 2000, Applicants respectfully request consideration of the following remarks.

The Examiner alleges that Applicants' replies of 3/7/00 and 8/16/00 are not fully responsive to the prior Office action because the amendments do not address the rejections present in the Office Action mailed 11/9/98. Applicants submit that the rejections presented in the 11/9/98 Office Action were addressed in Applicants 8/16/00 amendment and response, which at page 2 requests cancellation of all pending claims without prejudice to subsequent revival, and also includes the following passage at page 15:

2. Rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first and second paragraphs, and 35 U.S.C. §§ 102 and 103

As Applicants have canceled all pending claims and submitted new claims 56-127 for examination, the rejections in the November 9, 1998

Office Action are now moot. Applicants respectfully request that the examiner withdraw the rejections.

In view of the cancellation of the previously pending claims and entry of the amendment


Accordingly, Applicants replies filed on 3/7/00 and 8/16/00 are fully responsive to the prior Office action. Applicants further point out that the Office Action dated November 5, 1999 indicates that claims 56-127 are the only pending claims.

In view of the foregoing remarks, Applicants respectfully request withdrawal of the requirement to supply the omission or correction and again request withdrawal of the rejections present in the 11/9/98 Office Action.

CONCLUSION

If the Examiner believes a telephone conference would expedite prosecution of this application, please telephone the undersigned at 415-576-0200 or the in-house Attorney for Applicants Timothy J. Lithgow at 858-860-2514.

Respectfully submitted,



Jean M. Lockyer
Reg. No. 44,879

TOWNSEND and TOWNSEND and CREW LLP
Two Embarcadero Center, 8th Floor
San Francisco, California 94111-3834
Tel: (415) 576-0200
Fax: (415) 576-0300
JML
SF 1105917 v1